

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	Criminal No.
)	04-10160 (WGY)
v.)	
)	
ANSELMO DOMINGUEZ)	
Defendant.)	

UNITED STATES' PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS

The United States of America, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 30, requests that the Court, in addition to its usual instructions in criminal cases, give the following instructions to the jury. The United States also requests leave to file additional and/or amended instructions as may become appropriate during the course of the trial.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL J. SULLIVAN
United States Attorney

By: /s/ Cynthia W. Lie

CYNTHIA W. LIE
JENNIFER ZACKS
Assistant U.S. Attorneys

Dated: June 3, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DUTIES OF THE JURY	4
NATURE OF INDICTMENT -- PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE	5
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT OF ELEMENTS OF CRIME	6
EVIDENCE; OBJECTIONS; RULINGS; BENCH CONFERENCES	7
CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES	10
CONDUCT OF THE JURY	11
NOTE-TAKING	13
OUTLINE OF THE TRIAL	14
CAUTION AS TO COOPERATING WITNESS TESTIMONY	16
WEIGHING THE TESTIMONY OF AN EXPERT WITNESS	17
DUTY OF THE JURY TO FIND FACTS AND FOLLOW LAW	18
PRESUMPTION OF EVIDENCE; PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. . .	19
DEFENDANT'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT NOT TO TESTIFY	21
WHAT IS EVIDENCE; INFERENCES.	22
KINDS OF EVIDENCE: DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL.	23
CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES.	24
CAUTIONARY AND LIMITING INSTRUCTIONS AS TO PARTICULAR KINDS OF EVIDENCE	25
WHAT IS NOT EVIDENCE	26
CONSPIRACY [21 U.S.C. § 846]	28
FOREPERSON'S RULE; UNANIMITY	31
CONSIDERATION OF EVIDENCE	32
REACHING AGREEMENT.	33
RETURN OF VERDICT FORM	35

COMMUNICATION WITH THE COURT	36
"ON OR ABOUT" EXPLAINED	37
AMOUNT OF COCAINE	38
VERDICT FORM	

DUTIES OF THE JURY

Ladies and gentlemen: You now are the jury in this case, and I want to take a few minutes to tell you something about your duties as jurors and to give you some instructions. At the end of the trial I will give you more detailed instructions. Those instructions will control your deliberations.

It will be your duty to decide from the evidence what the facts are. You, and you alone, are the judges of the facts. You will hear the evidence, decide what the facts are, and then apply those facts to the law I give to you. That is how you will reach your verdict. In doing so you must follow that law whether you agree with it or not. The evidence will consist of the testimony of witnesses, documents and other things received into evidence as exhibits, and any facts on which the lawyers agree or which I may instruct you to accept.

You should not take anything I may say or do during the trial as indicating what I think of the believability or significance of the evidence or what your verdict should be.

NATURE OF INDICTMENT -- PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE

This criminal case has been brought by the United States government. I will sometimes refer to the government as the prosecution. The government is represented at this trial by two Assistant United States Attorneys, Cynthia W. Lie and Jennifer H. Zacks. The defendant, Anselmo Dominguez, is represented by John McBride.

The defendant have been charged by the government with a violation of federal law. The defendant has been charged with one count of conspiracy to distribute cocaine. The charge against the defendant is contained in the indictment. The indictment is simply a description of the charge against the defendant; it is not evidence of anything. The defendant pleaded not guilty to the charges and denied committing the crime. He is presumed innocent and may not be found guilty by you unless all of you unanimously find that the government has proven the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Pattern Jury Instructions: **First Circuit**, Criminal Cases
Committee on Pattern Criminal Jury Instructions - First Circuit
1998 Edition.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT OF ELEMENTS OF CRIME

In order to help you follow the evidence, I will now give you a brief summary of the elements of the crimes charged, each of which the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt to make its case. The defendant has been charged by the government with a violation of federal law. Specifically, the defendant has been charged with one count of conspiracy to distribute cocaine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846.

You should understand, however, that what I have just given you is only a preliminary outline. At the end of the trial I will give you a final instruction on these matters. If there is any difference between what I just told you, and what I tell you in the instruction I give you at the end of the trial, the instructions given at the end of the trial govern.

Pattern Jury Instructions: **First Circuit**, Criminal Cases
Committee on Pattern Criminal Jury Instructions - First Circuit
1998 Edition.

EVIDENCE; OBJECTIONS; RULINGS; BENCH CONFERENCES

I have mentioned the word "evidence." Evidence includes the testimony of witnesses, documents and other things received as exhibits, and any facts that have been stipulated -- that is, formally agreed to by the parties.

There are rules of evidence that control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence, and a lawyer on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules of evidence, that lawyer may object. This simply means that the lawyer is requesting that I make a decision on a particular rule of evidence.

Then it may be necessary for me to talk with the lawyers out of the hearing of the jury, either by having a bench conference here while the jury is present in the courtroom, or by calling a recess. Please understand that while you are waiting, we are working. The purpose of these conferences is to decide how certain evidence is to be treated under the rules of evidence, and to avoid confusion and error. We will, of course, do what we can to keep the number and length of these conferences to a minimum.

Certain things are not evidence. I will list those things for you now:

(1) Statements, arguments, questions and comments by lawyers representing the parties in the case are not evidence.

(2) Objections are not evidence. Lawyers have a duty to their

client to object when they believe something is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection. If I sustain an objection, you must ignore the question or exhibit and must not try to guess what the answer might have been or the exhibit might have contained. If I overrule the objection, the evidence will be admitted, but do not give it special attention because of the objection.

(3) Testimony that I strike from the record, or tell you to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered.

(4) Anything you see or hear about this case outside the courtroom is not evidence, unless I specifically tell you otherwise during the trial.

Furthermore, a particular item of evidence is sometimes received for a limited purpose only. That is, it can be used by you only for a particular purpose, and not for any other purpose. I will tell you when that occurs and instruct you on the purposes for which the item can and cannot be used.

Finally, some of you may have heard the terms "direct evidence" and "circumstantial evidence." Direct evidence is testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence, that is proof of a fact or facts from which you could draw the inference, by reason and common sense, that another fact exists, even though it has not been proven directly. You may consider both direct and

circumstantial evidence. The law permits you to give equal weight to both, but it is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

Pattern Jury Instructions: **First Circuit**, Criminal Cases
Committee on Pattern Criminal Jury Instructions - First Circuit
1998 Edition.

CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES

In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe everything a witness says or only part of it or none of it.

In deciding what to believe, you may consider a number of factors, including the following: (1) the witness's ability to see or hear or know the things the witness testifies to; (2) the quality of the witness's memory; (3) the witness's manner while testifying; (4) whether the witness has an interest in the outcome of the case or any motive, bias or prejudice; (5) whether the witness is contradicted by anything the witness said or wrote before trial or by other evidence; and (6) how reasonable the witness's testimony is when considered in the light of other evidence which you believe.

CONDUCT OF THE JURY

To insure fairness, you as jurors must obey the following rules:

First, do not talk among yourselves about this case, or about anyone involved with it, until the end of the case when you go to the jury room to decide on your verdict;

Second, do not talk with anyone else about this case, or about anyone who has anything to do with it, until the trial has ended and you have been discharged as jurors. "Anyone else" includes members of your family and your friends. You may tell them that you are a juror, but do not tell them anything about the case until after you have been discharged by me;

Third, do not let anyone talk to you about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone should try to talk to you, please report it to me immediately;

Fourth, during the trial do not talk with or speak to any of the parties, lawyers or witnesses involved in this case -- you should not even pass the time of day with any of them. It is important not only that you do justice in this case, but that you also give the appearance of doing justice. If a person from one side of the case sees you talking to a person from the other side -- even if it is simply to pass the time of day -- an unwarranted and unnecessary suspicion about your fairness might be aroused. If any lawyer, party or witness does not speak to you when you pass in the hall, ride the elevator or the like, it is because they are not supposed

to talk or visit with you;

Fifth, do not read any news stories or articles about the case or about anyone involved with it, or listen to any radio or television reports about the case or about anyone involved with it;

Sixth, do not do any research, such as consulting dictionaries or other reference materials, and do not make any investigation about the case on your own;

Seventh, if you need to communicate with me simply give a signed note to the court security officer to give to me; and

Eighth, do not make up your mind about what the verdict should be until after you have gone to the jury room to decide the case and you and your fellow jurors have discussed the evidence. Keep an open mind until then.

Pattern Jury Instructions: **First Circuit**, Criminal Cases
Committee on Pattern Criminal Jury Instructions - First Circuit
1998 Edition.

NOTE-TAKING

I am going to permit you to take notes in this case, and the courtroom deputy has distributed pencils and pads for your use. I want to give you a couple of warnings about taking notes, however. First of all, do not allow your note-taking to distract you from listening carefully to the testimony that is being presented. If you would prefer not to take notes at all but simply to listen, please feel free to do so. Please remember also from some of your grade-school experiences that not everything you write down is necessarily what was said. Thus, when you return to the jury room to discuss the case, do not assume simply because something appears in somebody's notes that it necessarily took place in court. Instead, it is your collective memory that must control as you deliberate upon the verdict. Please take your notes to the jury room at every recess. I will have the courtroom deputy collect them at the end of each day and place them in the vault. They will then be returned to you the next morning. When the case is over, your notes will be destroyed. These steps are in line with my earlier instruction to you that it is important that you not discuss the case with anyone or permit anyone to discuss it with you.

Pattern Jury Instructions: **First Circuit**, Criminal Cases
Committee on Pattern Criminal Jury Instructions - First Circuit
1998 Edition.

OUTLINE OF THE TRIAL

The first step in the trial will be the opening statements. The government in its opening statement will tell you about the evidence that it intends to put before you, so that you will have an idea of what the government's case is going to be.

Just as the indictment is not evidence, neither is the opening statement evidence. Its purpose is only to help you understand what the evidence will be and what the government will try to prove.

After the government's opening statement, the defendant's attorney may, if he chooses, make opening statements. At this point in the trial, no evidence has been offered by either side.

Next the government will offer evidence that it says will support the charges against the defendant. The government's evidence in this case will consist of the testimony of witnesses, and may include documents and other exhibits. In a moment I will say more about the nature of evidence.

After the government's evidence, the defendant's lawyer may present evidence in the defendant's behalf, but they are not required to do so. I remind you that the defendant is presumed innocent, and the government must prove the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendant does not have to prove his innocence.

After you have heard all the evidence on both sides, the

government and the defense will each be given time for their final arguments. I just told you that the opening statements by the lawyers are not evidence. The same applies to the closing arguments. They are not evidence either. In their closing arguments the lawyers for the government and the defendant will attempt to summarize and help you understand the evidence that was presented.

The final part of the trial occurs when I instruct you about the rules of law that you are to use in reaching your verdict. After hearing my instructions, you will leave the courtroom together to make your decisions. Your deliberations will be secret. You will never have to explain your verdict to anyone.

Following your verdict, there may be further proceedings. If such proceedings become necessary, I will give you additional instructions at that time.

CAUTION AS TO COOPERATING WITNESS TESTIMONY

You will hear the testimony of one or more of the government's witnesses who participated in the crimes charged against the defendant and provided evidence under an agreement with the government. Some people in this position are entirely truthful when testifying. Still, you should consider this testimony with care and caution. You may consider whether the witnesses had reason to make up stories or exaggerate what others did to be helpful to law enforcement.

WEIGHING THE TESTIMONY OF AN EXPERT WITNESS

You will hear testimony from an expert witness. An expert witness has special knowledge or experience that allows that witness to give an opinion.

You may accept or reject such testimony. In weighing the testimony, you should consider the factors that generally bear upon the credibility of a witness as well as the expert witness's education and experience, the soundness of the reasons given for the opinion and all other evidence in the case.

Remember that you alone decide how much of a witness's testimony to believe, and how much weight it should be given.

Pattern Jury Instructions: **First Circuit**, Criminal Cases
Committee on Pattern Criminal Jury Instructions - First Circuit
1998 Edition.

DUTY OF THE JURY TO FIND FACTS AND FOLLOW LAW

It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence admitted in this case. To those facts you must apply the law as I give it to you. The determination of the law is my duty as the presiding judge in this court. It is your duty to apply the law exactly as I give it to you, whether you agree with it or not. You must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, prejudices or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you and according to the law. You will recall that you took an oath promising to do so at the beginning of the case.

In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and ignore others; they are all equally important. You must not read into these instructions, or into anything I may have said or done, any suggestions by me as to what verdict you should return -- that is a matter entirely for you to decide.

Pattern Jury Instructions: **First Circuit**, Criminal Cases
Committee on Pattern Criminal Jury Instructions - First Circuit
1998 Edition.

PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE; PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT

It is a cardinal principle of our system of justice that every person accused of a crime is presumed to be innocent unless and until his guilt is established beyond a reasonable doubt. The presumption is not a mere formality. It is a matter of the most important substance.

The presumption of innocence alone may be sufficient to raise a reasonable doubt and to require the acquittal of a defendant. The defendant before you has the benefit of that presumption throughout the trial, and you are not to convict him of a particular charge unless you are persuaded of his guilt of the charge beyond a reasonable doubt.

The presumption of innocence until proven guilty means that the burden of proof is always on the government to satisfy you that the defendant is guilty of the crime with which he is charged beyond a reasonable doubt. The law does not require that the government prove guilt beyond all possible doubt; proof beyond a reasonable doubt is sufficient to convict. This burden never shifts to the defendant. It is always the government's burden to prove each of the elements of the crimes charged beyond a reasonable doubt by the evidence and the reasonable inferences to be drawn from that evidence. The defendant has the right to rely upon the failure or inability of the government to establish beyond a reasonable doubt any essential element of a crime charged against him.

If, after fair and impartial consideration of all the evidence, you have a reasonable doubt as to the defendant's guilt of the crime, it is your duty to acquit the defendant. On the other hand, if after fair and impartial consideration of all the evidence, you are satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt of the defendant's guilt of the crime, you should vote to convict the defendant.

Pattern Jury Instructions: **First Circuit**, Criminal Cases
Committee on Pattern Criminal Jury Instructions - First Circuit
1998 Edition.

DEFENDANT'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT NOT TO TESTIFY

The defendants have a constitutional right not to testify and no inference of guilt, or of anything else, may be drawn from the fact that a defendant did not testify. For any of you to draw such an inference would be wrong; indeed, it would be a violation of your oath as a juror.

WHAT IS EVIDENCE; INFERENCES

The evidence from which you are to decide what the facts are consists of sworn testimony of witnesses, both on direct and cross-examination, regardless of who called the witness; the exhibits that have been received into evidence; and any facts to which the lawyers have agreed or stipulated.

Although you may consider only the evidence presented in the case, you are not limited in considering that evidence to the bald statements made by the witnesses or contained in the documents. In other words, you are not limited solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You are permitted to draw from facts that you find to have been proven such reasonable inferences as you believe are justified in the light of common sense and personal experience.

KINDS OF EVIDENCE: DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL

There are two kinds of evidence: direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony of an eyewitness that the witness saw something. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence, that is proof of a fact or facts from which you could draw the inference, by reason and common sense, that another fact exists, even though it has not been proven directly. You are entitled to consider both kinds of evidence. The law permits you to give equal weight to both, but it is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES

Whether the government has sustained its burden of proof does not depend upon the number of witnesses it has called or upon the number of exhibits it has offered, but instead upon the nature and quality of the evidence presented. You do not have to accept the testimony of any witness if you find the witness not credible. You must decide which witnesses to believe and which facts are true. To do this, you must look at all the evidence, drawing upon your common sense and personal experience.

You may want to take into consideration such factors as the witnesses' conduct and demeanor while testifying; their apparent fairness or any bias they may have displayed; any interest you may discern that they may have in the outcome of the case; any prejudice they may have shown; their opportunities for seeing and knowing the things about which they have testified; the reasonableness or unreasonableness of the events that they have related to you in their testimony; and any other facts or circumstances disclosed by the evidence that tend to corroborate or contradict their versions of the events.

**CAUTIONARY AND LIMITING INSTRUCTIONS
AS TO PARTICULAR KINDS OF EVIDENCE**

A particular item of evidence is sometimes received for a limited purpose only. That is, it can be used by you only for one particular purpose, and not for any other purpose. I have told you when that occurred, and instructed you on the purposes for which the item can and cannot be used.

Pattern Jury Instructions: **First Circuit**, Criminal Cases
Committee on Pattern Criminal Jury Instructions - First Circuit
1998 Edition.

WHAT IS NOT EVIDENCE

Certain things are not evidence. I will list them for you:

(1) Arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence because the lawyers are not witnesses. What they say in their opening statements, closing arguments and at other times is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. If the facts as you remember them from the evidence differ from the way the lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls.

(2) Questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence. Lawyers have a duty to their clients to object when they believe a question is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by my ruling on it.

(3) Anything that I have excluded from evidence or ordered stricken and instructed you to disregard is not evidence. You must not consider such items.

(4) Anything you may have seen or heard when the court was not in session is not evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at trial.

(5) The indictment is not evidence. This case, like most criminal cases, began with a charge, or an indictment. In this case, there were subsequent charges which are contained in a Indictment. You will have that indictment before you in the course of your deliberations in the jury room. That indictment

was returned by a grand jury, which heard only the government's side of the case. I caution you, as I have before, that the fact that the defendant has been indicted is no evidence whatsoever of their guilt. The indictment is simply an accusation. It is the means by which the allegations and charges of the government are brought before this court. The indictment proves nothing.

**CONSPIRACY TO DISTRIBUTE A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE AND TO POSSESS
WITH INTENT TO DISTRIBUTE A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE**
[21 U.S.C. § 846]

Anselmo Dominguez is accused of conspiring to distribute cocaine and to possess cocaine with the intent to distribute it from a period beginning in or about November 2003 and continuing to on or about March 31, 2004. To establish a conspiracy, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt the following two things: (1) that the agreement specified in the Indictment, and not some other agreement or agreements, existed between at least two people to distribute cocaine or to possess cocaine with intent to distribute it; and (2) that Anselmo Dominguez willfully joined in that agreement.

A conspiracy is an agreement, spoken or unspoken. The conspiracy does not have to be a formal agreement or plan in which everyone involved sat down together and worked out all the details. But the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that those who were involved shared a general understanding about the crime. Mere similarity of conduct among various people, or the fact that they may have associated with each other or discussed common aims and interests does not necessarily establish proof of the existence of a conspiracy, but you may consider such factors.

To act "willfully" means to act voluntarily and

intelligently and with the specific intent that the underlying crime be committed - that is to say, with bad purpose, either to disobey or disregard the law - not to act by ignorance, accident or mistake. The government must prove two types of intent beyond a reasonable doubt before the defendant can be said to have willfully joined the conspiracy: an intent to agree and an intent, whether reasonable or not, that the underlying crime be committed. Mere presence at the scene of a crime is not alone enough, but you may consider it among other factors. Intent may be inferred from the surrounding circumstances. Proof that the Defendant willfully joined in the agreement must be based upon evidence of his own words and/or actions. You need not find that he agreed specifically to, or knew about, all the details of the crime or crimes, or knew every other co-conspirator, or that they participated in each act of the agreement or played a major role, but the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that he knew the essential features and general aims of the venture. Even if the Defendant was not part of the agreement at the very start, he can be found guilty of conspiracy if the government proves that he willfully joined the agreement later. On the other hand, a person who has no knowledge of a conspiracy, but simply happens to act in a way that furthers some object or purpose of the conspiracy does not thereby become a conspirator.

The government does not have to prove that the conspiracy

succeeded or was achieved. The crime of conspiracy is complete upon the agreement to commit the underlying crime.

Pattern Jury Instructions: **First Circuit**, Criminal Cases
Committee on Pattern Criminal Jury Instructions - First Circuit
1998 Edition.

FOREPERSON'S ROLE; UNANIMITY

I come now to the last part of the instructions, the rules for your deliberations.

When you retire you will discuss the case with the other jurors to reach agreement if you can do so. You shall permit your foreperson to preside over your deliberations, and your foreperson will speak for you here in court. Your verdict must be unanimous.

CONSIDERATION OF EVIDENCE

Your verdict must be based solely on the evidence and on the law as I have given it to you in these instructions. However, nothing that I have said or done is intended to suggest what your verdict should be -- that is entirely for you to decide.

REACHING AGREEMENT

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but you should do so only after considering all the evidence, discussing it fully with the other jurors, and listening to the views of the other jurors.

Do not be afraid to change your opinion if you think you are wrong. But do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right.

This case has taken time and effort to prepare and try. There is no reason to think it could be better tried or that another jury is better qualified to decide it. It is important therefore that you reach a verdict if you can do so conscientiously. If it looks at some point as if you may have difficulty in reaching a unanimous verdict, and if the greater number of you are agreed on a verdict, the jurors in both the majority and the minority should reexamine their positions to see whether they have given careful consideration and sufficient weight to the evidence that has favorably impressed the jurors who disagree with them. You should not hesitate to reconsider your views from time to time and to change them if you are persuaded that this is appropriate.

It is important that you attempt to return a verdict, but of course, only if each of you can do so after having made your own conscientious determination. Do not surrender an honest

conviction as to the weight and effect of the evidence simply to reach a verdict.

Pattern Jury Instructions: **First Circuit**, Criminal Cases
Committee on Pattern Criminal Jury Instructions - First Circuit
1998 Edition.

RETURN OF VERDICT FORM

I want to read to you now what is called the verdict form. This is simply the written notice of the decision you will reach in this case.

Read attached verdict form.

After you have reached unanimous agreement on a verdict, your foreperson will fill in the form that has been given to you, sign and date it, and advise the jury officer outside your door that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

After you return to the courtroom, your foreperson will deliver the completed verdict form as directed in open court.

COMMUNICATION WITH THE COURT

If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me, you may send a note through the jury officer signed by your foreperson or by one or more members of the jury. No member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with me on anything concerning the case except by a signed writing, and I will communicate with any member of the jury on anything concerning the case only in writing, or orally here in open court. If you send out a question, I will consult with the parties as promptly as possible before answering it, which may take some time. You may continue with your deliberations while waiting for the answer to any question. Remember that you are not to tell anyone -- including me -- how the jury stands, numerically or otherwise, until after you have reached a unanimous verdict or have been discharged.

"ON OR ABOUT" - EXPLAINED

The indictment charges that the offenses alleged were committed "on or about" certain dates.

Although it is necessary for the government to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense was committed on a date reasonably near the dates alleged in the indictment, it is not necessary for the government to prove that the offense was committed precisely on the dates charged.

Adapted from Devitt, Blackmar, Wolff, and O'Malley, Federal Jury Practice and Instructions, §13.05 (4th Ed. 1992).

AMOUNT OF COCAINE

You will note that the government has alleged that defendants conspired to distribute 500 to 2000 grams of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine. In order to convict the defendant of this precise charge, you must conclude beyond a reasonable doubt that the amount of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine the defendant conspired to distribute and to possess with intent to distribute was 500 grams or more. The verdict form that will be provided to you by the Clerk will contain a space on which you can indicate your conclusion as to that amount.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	CRIMINAL No.
v.)	
)	
)	04-10160 (WGY)
ANSELMO DOMINGUEZ)	
)	
)	
Defendant.)	

VERDICT

1. Count 1

1-1. As to Count 1 of the Superseding Indictment charging conspiracy to distribute cocaine and to possess with intent to distribute cocaine, we unanimously find Defendant Anselmo Dominguez:

_____	Guilty
_____	Not Guilty

(IF YOU FIND THE DEFENDANT GUILTY ON COUNT 1, ANSWER QUESTIONS 1-2. IF YOU FIND THE DEFENDANT NOT GUILTY ON COUNT 1, DO NOT ADDRESS QUESTION 1-2)

1-2. Having found Defendant Anselmo Dominguez, guilty as to Count 1 of the Superseding Indictment, we unanimously find that Count 1 involved the following amounts of cocaine:

_____ DID involve 500 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine

_____ DID NOT involve 500 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine

FOREPERSON